WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Urmita Datta (Sen) Member (J) & The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A).

OA-705 of 2018

The Hon ble	Towak Noth Danni Vo The State of West Dangel &) wo
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
<u>06</u> 01.03.2019	For the petitioner : Mrs. Sonali Mitra, Learned Advocate.	
	For the respondent : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Advocate.	
	The instant application has been	
	filed praying for direction upon the respondents	
	to appoint the applicant on compassionate	
	ground. As per the applicant, he had applied for	
	compassionate appointment after the death of	
	his father. After detailed proceedings his name	
	was approved by the MIC, P.W. Department	
	(Roads) vide memo dated 20.01.2009	
	(Annexure-D) wherein his name has been	
	reflected at Sl. No. 32 but chronologically 5 of	
	the said list and also in the said memo it has	
	been made clear to appoint the candidate in the	
	post of Group-D against the reserved post for	
	exempted category. However, since then he did	
	not receive any appointment letter. However,	
	suddenly the Joint Secretary, P.W.D. Deptt. has	
	started a claim for some documents again for	
	resubmitting of the proforma application,	
	calculation sheet to the deptt. for processing of	
Page /1	the compassionate appointment but as per the	

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
06 01.03.2019	counsel for the applicant the said procedures had already been completed and approved by the then MIC, P.W.D. Being aggrieved, he has filed this instant application.	
	As per the applicant since his case was already approved by the then MIC, PWD, i.e. the highest authority of the department, therefore, there is no question of inviting any documents except to issue appointment letter in favour of the applicant as per the terms and conditions of that memo.	
	During the course of hearing, counsel for the respondent has also raised the question of maintainability of the application on the ground of limitation. However, as per the counsel for the applicant since his case was approved in the year 2009 and in the meantime they have started de novo processing in the year 2018. So, there is no question of	
Page /2	year 2010. So, there is no question of	

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
lin	nitation. The counsel for the applicant has	
o6 ch	nosen not to file any rejoinder.	
01.03.2019		
	Heard the parties and perused the	
re	cords. It is noted that the MIC, PWD Deptt. is	
th	e highest authority who has approved the	
ca	ase of the applicant in the year 2009 for	
co	ompassionate appointment of the applicant in	
th	e post of Group -D (regular). In the said	
me	emo it has been made very clear that	
ap	ppointment should be made as and when the	
va	acancy will occur. It is also observed that the	
sa	aid fact has not been controverted by the	
re	spondents but they have taken a plea why	
th	e applicant had approached this Tribunal in	
th	e year 2018. It is also a settled issue in case	
of	compassionate appointment that when the	
re	spondents put the applicant in a panel,	
he	e has to wait for vacancy, therefore, he has	
aw	waited for the said vacancy. However, in stead	
of	controverting the fact by way of showing any	
Page /3	ocument `whether there is any vacancy or	
	ot, the respondents only has raised the issue	

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
06 01.03.2019	that how the applicant has received the	
01.03.2019	document which has no relevancy. Therefore,	
	the contention of the respondents is not	
	acceptable to us. The case of the applicant has	
	already been approved. In our considered view,	
	there is no question of reverification of	
	documents of the applicant for the purpose of	
	issuing appointment in the post of Group-D	
	under die in harness category. Therefore,	
	respondents are directed to issue appointment	
	letter in favour of the applicant immediately in	
	the available vacancy and communicate the	
	same within 12 weeks from the date of receipt	
	of this order. The application is disposed of	
	accordingly with no order as to costs.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
	MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	
SC		
SC		

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors

		0.00
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
P/4		3
P/4		
02		
15.02.2019		
15.02.2019		

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
P/5		
02 15.02.2019		
P/6		

Form No. Tarak Nath Bauri

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
02 15.02.2019		
sc		